

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 2310 of 1996

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE M.S.SHAH

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

-----  
VIDYABEN WD/O. RAMESHCHANDRA MAGANLAL PATEL

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

-----  
Appearance:

MR MUKESH R SHAH for Petitioners

MR VM PANCHOLI, AGP, for Respondent No. 1, 2

-----  
CORAM : MR.JUSTICE M.S.SHAH

Date of decision: 01/07/1999

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Heard Mr M.R. Shah for the petitioner and Mr V.M.Pancholi, learned AGP, for the respondents.

2 The petitioner has challenged the order passed by the competent authority and the order passed by the Tribunal under the provisions of the Urban Land (Ceiling & Regulation) Act, 1976. The authorities have not taken possession of the land in question from the petitioner

which fact is not in dispute, in view of the contents of letter bearing no.ULC/Declaration No.1087/Danteshwar, issued by the competent authority and the Deputy Collector (ULC), Baroda, in June 1999 in response to the petitioner's application dated 8th June 1999. The said letter is taken on record.

3 The Urban Land (Ceiling & Regulation) Act, 1976 has been repealed by the Urban Land (Ceiling & Regulation) Repeal Act, 1999, as adopted by the Gujarat State Legislative Assembly as per the resolution dated 30th March 1999, passed under Article 252(2) of the Constitution. In view of the provisions of the aforesaid Repeal Act, 1999, the orders impugned in the present petition do not survive and all the proceedings under the Urban Land (Ceiling & Regulation) Act, 1976 in respect of the land in question as well as the present petition have abated.

4 The petition is accordingly disposed of as having abated. There shall be no order as to costs.

(M S SHAH, J.)  
(mohd)